## LCA of vehicle

components: how weight reduction could influence

## the environmental impact

Saïcha Gerbinet<sup>1</sup>, Sylvie Groslambert<sup>1</sup>, Ioanna Koutla<sup>2</sup>, Jan Stroobants<sup>3</sup>, Michael Effing<sup>4</sup>, Freek de Bruijn<sup>5</sup>, Jean-Pierre Heijster<sup>5</sup>, Philip Eyckens<sup>3</sup>, Frédérik Cambier<sup>6</sup>, Pierre Duysinx<sup>2</sup> and Angélique Léonard<sup>1</sup>



<sup>1</sup>University of Liège, Chemical Engineering – PEPs, Belgium, www.chemeng.uliege.be – <u>saicha.gerbinet@ulg.ac.be</u>, Quartier Agora, B6c, Allée du Six Août 15, 400 Liège, Belgique <sup>2</sup>ULiège, Ingénierie des véhicules terrestres, Aérospatiale et Mécanique (A&M), Bât. B52/3 Quartier Polytech 1, allée de la Découverte 9, 4000 Liège, Belgique <sup>3</sup>Flanders Make, Oude Diestersebaan 133, 3920 Lommel, Belgium <sup>4</sup>AMAC, Advanced Materials Advisory & Consulting, Finkenhag 1b, 52074 Aachen, German <sup>5</sup>Automotive industry NL, Koninklijke RAI Vereniging, Automotive Campus 30, 5708 JZ Helmond, The Netherlands

<sup>6</sup>Technifutur, Liège science park, Rue Bois Saint-Jean, 15-17, 4102 Seraing, Belgique

Introduction: The objective of this study is to determine how changes in materials and design could influence the weight and the environmental performances of some vehicle components. Four case studies are investigated. These have been developed during the Light Vehicle 2025 Interreg project (Euregio Meuse-Rhine). The objective of this project is to develop safer, lighter and more fun to drive vehicles thanks to lightweight materials and optimized designs.

**LCA:** Cradle-to-gate: functional unit = 1 piece. Only production (raw materials extraction and production). SímaPro Raw materials transport not included (sensitivity analysis shows only a small influence). Use and end-of-life not included. **Or Cradle-to-wheel:** the functional unit: the life of one car, i.e. 12 years with a driving distance of 150 000 km.



70%

50%



**IEGE** 

iversité

ENGINEERING

reduced accordingly, The contribution of the anti-roll bar in itself are so small that they are invisible: small mass  $\rightarrow$ no difference between the two cases

lighter. Fuel consumption and



In photochemical formation ozone respiratory inorganics and resource use: smaller impact of the new gearbox cover due to the smaller impact of the materials (weight reduction and change from aluminium alloy to plastic materials)

eco nvent

## **Case 3: Hydrogen tank**

80%

70%

60%







Reduction of weight of reinforcement and liner with change in production process for the liner No change in metallic boss and valve



Reduction of weight: by moving from steel to plastic and glass materials

production process

No impact transfer.

New demo door: PE sheet and glass parts contribution. = largest The steel part (door frame) have also nocontribution. negligible the These parts 

Data quality: a challenge!

00

5

S

- Large contribution of the materials
- Weight reduction: allows an impact reduction in the production process for the for case studies.
- clu S When the complete life cycle of the car is considered (from car production to its use), the contribution of the anti-roll bar is too small to observe any 0
  - differences between the new system and the conventional system. Nevertheless, as the analyses of the bar has demonstrated, if this kind of improvement
  - were performed for more parts of the car, it could lead to a significant impact reduction.



